(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. No.864 /2003

PETITIONER

G.S. Dhurve
aged about 44 years
S/o late Hulkar Singh Dhurve
Block Development Officer/
Area Organiser
/Tribal block, Dondi,
District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary
Department of Tribal Welfare
Schedule Castes and
Minority Welfare
D.K.S. Bhavan, Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Collector
(Tribal Development)
Durg (Chhattisgarh)

3. Chief Executive Officer
District Panchayat
Durg (Chhattisgarh)

4. Assistant Commissioner
Tribal Development Department
Durg (Chhattisgarh)

5. Project Officer
Integrated Tribal
Development Project
Dondi Lohara
District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

6. Chief Executive Officer
Janpad Panchayat Dondi
District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 73503
Presented by Shri Nitendra P.S.
dt. 06.03.03

RECEIVED COPY
6/3/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF CERTIORARI AND MANDAMUS AND FURTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS:

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER: -**

(92)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 864/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19/03/2004 Shri Jitendra Pali, counsel for the petitioner. Shri S. Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India challenging the impugned order dated 04/07/2001 (Annexure P/5) passed by respondent no.2/Collector. The case of the petitioner is that he was working as Block Development Officer, Tribal Development Block, Dondi, District Durg and vide impugned order dated 04/07/2001 (Annexure P/5) he has been transferred on the post of Incharge Block Officer to Katekalyan, District Dantewada. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the post on which he has been transferred, is not his cadre post. In this regard, petitioner has made several representations to respondents no. 1 and 2, but so far his representations have not been decided. Therefore, he submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a further representation to respondent no. 1 and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for the State/respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent no.1 within ten days and in turn	

(93)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

respondents no. 1 shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the representation considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid directions the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.No. 755/2003 and I.A.No.11945/2003 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Thakur

22.03.04

[पीछे देखिये